Confirmation No. 8184 Attorney Docket No. 46277

Group Art Unit: 1774

Examiner: Shewareged, B.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent application of

OKETANI et al.

Serial No. 10/084,888

Filed: March 1, 2002

For:

PACKAGED PRODUCT FOR INK-JET RECORDING MATERIAL, AND RECORDING METHOD AND RECORDED MATERIAL USING INK-JET RECORDING MATERIAL

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Response in the above-captioned application. The fee has been calculated as shown below. (Small entity fees indicated in parentheses.)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Fee
Total Claims	21	-	21		18.00	\$0
(Small Entity)					(9.00)	
Independent claims	2	-	3		86.00	0
(Small Entity)					(43.00)	
Multiple Dependent	0	-	0	0	290.00	0
(Small Entity)					(145.00)	
Extension of Time	One Month		Two Months	Three Months		
Fee	\$110		\$420	\$950		\$0
(Small Entity)	(\$55)		(\$210)	(\$475)		0
Total						\$0

The above fees are believed to be correct. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0687 under the above Attorney Docket Number for which purpose this paper is submitted in duplicate. **CUSTOMER NO. 20736**

Respectfully submitted,

April 29, 2004

Date:

Reg. No. 32,011 Tel. No. 202-261-1050 Fax No. 202-887-0336

Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036-3307 202.261.1000



In re PATENT APPLICATION of OKETANI et al.

Confirmation No. 8184 Attny. Docket No. 46277

Application No. 10/084,888

Group Art Unit: 1774

Exam

Examiner: Shewareged, B.

Filed: March 1, 2002

Title: PACKAGED PRODUCT FOR INK-JET RECORDING MATERIAL, AND

RECORDING METHOD AND RECORDED MATERIAL USING INK-JET

RECORDING MATERIAL

April 29, 2004

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 29, 2004, reconsideration and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks which are believed to satisfactorily respond to the Examiner's request for clarification.

The applicants continue to respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 7, 9-12 and 17-22 under 35 USC 103(a) over Sakaki et al. in view of Kasahara et al. The cited references do not make the presently claimed invention to be obvious.

The present Office Action states at page 3, lines 11-15, that:

"Sakaki and Kasahara are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the ink jet recording art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the fumed silica of Kasahara with the invention of Sakaki in order to enhance the glossiness, film strength and porosity of the layer (see col. 7, line 59 of Kasahara)."